Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/543,042	ROBERTSON, GRAHAM	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MADELINE GONZALEZ	1797	

	MADELINE GONZALEZ	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:	he same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strength in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
		will make a set sead bas	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOī /);	ΓE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		i be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-10 and 18-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (Fig. 13. ☐ Other: see attachment. 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations added to claims 1, 18 and 24, are considered to be new issues since they change the scope of the dependent claims. Furthermore, the new limitation of a "first" rectangular opening is a new issue, since it is confusing because applicant is not claiming a second rectangular opening.